



Summary: Hospitals are under immense pressure, facing accusations of aggressively increasing prices while simultaneously struggling to maintain essential services. This article explores the complexities behind this paradox, shedding light on the financial and operational challenges confronting healthcare providers today.

Greg Oliver and Henry Grady lead the NFP Hospitals & Health Systems Industry Specialty Group for Truist.

Hospitals Are Struggling While Patients Pay More

The U.S. healthcare system has always been a bit of a paradox: while we benefit from being the wealthiest country in the world with cutting-edge technology & innovation, the healthcare sector continues to be plagued by high costs, limited access, and increasing health disparities when compared with other peer countries.

Recently, there were two disparate stories displayed side by side on a healthcare news feed: The first was a *Bloomberg* opinion piece titled [U.S. Hospitals Are Hanging on by a Thread](#) and the second was a news article from *The Guardian* with the headline [Patients and employers accuse not-for-profit Indiana hospital of price gouging](#). Reading both, many points made by the authors, despite contradictory premises, ring true; hospitals are struggling financially while simultaneously being accused of overcharging patients. This inconsistency seems indicative of many aspects of the state of healthcare in the U.S.

Price-Gouging and Market Leverage

The Guardian article focused on a lawsuit against Parkview Health, a not-for-profit health system in Fort Wayne, Indiana, accused of price-gouging patients and employers.

According to the lawsuit, Parkview used its significant market share to negotiate higher rates from insurers, who in turn passed the increased costs onto employers and patients.

This trend is not new. It is not uncommon for hospitals to pursue market share to strengthen their bargaining power with insurers. Their reasoning is straightforward: Medicare often reimburses below operating costs, Medicaid pays even less, and hospitals must absorb costs for uninsured patients. To stay viable, they shift these shortfalls to commercial insurers, leading to higher rates - and ultimately, rising costs for selective patients and employers.

The Precarious State of U.S. Hospitals

The Bloomberg article emphasized the fragile financial condition of many hospitals, particularly smaller and rural facilities, which have struggled for years. While the pandemic accelerated these challenges, the underlying issues have existed for decades. One statistic in the article represents the number of hospital beds per 1,000 people has fallen from 9.2 in 1960 to just 2.3 today. The shift toward outpatient care and advances in medical technology have made inpatient stays for many procedures less necessary, but as the Bloomberg writer pointed out, costs have continued to rise in defiance of expectations that greater efficiency would bring savings.

The article raised another critical concern: many parts of the country are becoming medical deserts—areas without sufficient healthcare services. Rural regions and urban neighborhoods are disproportionately affected by hospital closures. These closures leave patients without access to timely care, exacerbating health disparities.

Despite spending more on healthcare per capita than any other country in the world, the U.S. lags its peers in many key health metrics. For example, life expectancy in the U.S. has fallen behind other developed nations over the last 40 years and maternal mortality rates have worsened. As the Bloomberg article argued, the problem isn't just a lack of funding—it's systemic inefficiency and misaligned financial incentives.

Both Premises Are True

The tension between the two articles reflects the complex financial dynamics of the U.S. healthcare system. Hospitals face genuine pressure to cover rising costs, especially as government payments lag inflation and staffing challenges endure. These same pressures drive hospitals to leverage this market power, resulting in higher prices. In trying to remain financially stable, hospitals risk alienating their communities by shifting the financial burden to consumers and employers.

The Parkview Health lawsuit illustrates this dynamic perfectly. These higher rates resulted in pushback from patients, employers, and insurers via legal action and regulatory/political advocacy. This is not a unique situation--hospitals across the country have found

themselves caught between financial survival and mounting resistance to their pricing strategies.

Looking Ahead

Despite these challenges, there is cause for optimism. Not-for-profit hospitals play a vital role in our communities, and many are actively working to meet patient needs across the continuum of care—from preventive services to acute care and rehabilitation. Unlike other segments of the continuum, economics are not the primary motivating factor—these efforts are driven by the mission of these not-for-profits to improve overall health in the communities served.

Advances in artificial intelligence offer promising opportunities to improve both clinical and administrative processes. AI-powered tools, such as predictive analytics, automated claims submission & denials management systems, and clinical decision support software have the potential to lower costs, enhance care delivery, and improve patient outcomes.

The path forward remains challenging. Hospitals need capital to invest in innovative technologies and to improve care delivery while balancing their financial obligations and community responsibilities. Until these innovations take hold, we'll likely continue seeing these competing realities: hospitals under financial strain while patients and employers (and governments) demand more affordable, higher-quality care.